Operating systems Real-Time Scheduling

Created by Enrico Fraccaroli enrico.fraccaroli@gmail.com



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

1 / 28

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Table of Contents

- Real-Time Systems

 Definition

 Time consistency
- Real-Time Policies
 Priority and Niceness
 Preemption
 - 2.3. Policies Behaviour
- 3. Implementation Steps in MentOs
- 4. Backup Slides
 - 4.1. Earliest Deadline First (EDF)
 - 4.2. Rate Monotonic (RM)

Real-Time Systems



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

3 / 28

(日)

Real-Time Systems

Definition



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

4 / 28

Real-Time Operating Systems Definition

Definition (Real-Time Operating System)

A real-time operating system (RTOS) is a **time-bound** system which has well-defined, fixed **time constraints**.

We distinguish between:

- Soft RTOS: which can usually or generally meet a deadline;
- ▶ Hard RTOS: which can deterministically meet a deadline.

Furthermore, they are either:

- 1. Event-driven: system switches between tasks based on priorities;
- Time-sharing: system switches tasks based on clock interrupts.



Real-Time Systems

Time consistency



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Real-Time Operating Systems

Time consistency

In a RTOS, **consistency** over the amount of time it takes to **accept and complete** an application's task is of utmost importance. The variability of this time-span is called "*jitter*".



In hard RTOS, jitter is not acceptable, it destroys determinism.



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

7 / 28



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

8 / 28

In Linux there are three classes of processes (linux/include/linux/sched.h):

/// Scheduling Policies
#define SCHED_OTHER 0 ///< standard round-robin policy (time-sharing);
#define SCHED_FIF0 1 ///< a first-in, first-out policy (event-driven);
#define SCHED_RR 2 ///< a round-robin policy (event-driven).</pre>

Linux supports real-time scheduling out of the box.

P.S.: That's true, but the only issue is that **latencies** may not satisfy the hard real-time requirements of critical applications.

P.P.S.: If you look at the man page of sched_setscheduler system call, it will give you more details about these policies.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Priority and Niceness



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

10 / 28

Priority and Niceness (1/2)

Going back to what we saw with **MentOs**, each process has a sched_entity struct associated with it. Inside this struct we have the prio field, with values ranging from 0 to 139, explained as follows:

- 0 to 99 is the real-time "priority" range;
- ▶ 100 to 139 is the "niceness" range.

Both SCHED_FIFO and SCHED_RR have a prio ranging from 0 to 99. While SCHED_OTHER, has no actual "priority" value, but it has a "niceness" value ranging from 0 to 39 identified by a prio ranging from 100 to 139.

It may sound confusing, but to put it simple, we use the **same variable** to manage both **priority** and **niceness**, what changes is the **range**.



Operating systems

11 / 28

Priority and Niceness (2/2)

Numeric Priority	Relative Priority	Tasks Nature	Time Quantum
0	Highest		200 <i>ms</i>
		Real-Time	
		Tasks	
99			
100 [nice: 0]			
		Other	
	•	Tasks	•
139 [nice: 39]	Lowest		20 <i>ms</i>

Time quantum: the maximum amount of **contiguous CPU time** it may use before **yielding** the CPU to **another process** of the **same priority**.

Operating systems

12 / 28

A B F A B F

Preemption



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

13 / 28

All runnable processes have entries in the *scheduler database*. The *scheduler database* is an array of 140 lists, **one list for each priority level**.

The scheduler **orders** the processes on each priority level list by placing the process that should:

- run next, at the head of the list;
- **wait the longest**, at the **tail** of the list.

Real-Time Policies Preemption (2/2)

Preemptive Priority Scheduler

The scheduler updates the *scheduler database*, whenever an event occurs. If **a process** in the database now has a **higher priority** than that of the **running process**, the running process is **preempted** and placed back into the *scheduler database*. Then, the **highest priority process** is made the **running** process.

Let us go back at the priority lists...

When a process is placed into a priority list in the scheduler database, it is placed at the **tail** of the list **unless it has just been preempted**.

If it has just been preempted, the processes scheduling policy determines whether it is inserted at the head (real-time scheduling policy) or the tail (timeshare scheduling policy).



Policies Behaviour



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

16 / 28

イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

A <code>SCHED_FIFO</code> process runs until either it is blocked by an I/O request, it is preempted by a higher priority process, or it calls <code>sched_yield</code>.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

SCHED_RR is a simple enhancement of SCHED_FIF0, and the same rules of SCHED_FIF0 are applied. However, each process is only allowed to run for a **maximum time quantum**.

We distinguish between two cases:

- If a SCHED_RR process has been running for a time period equal to or longer than the time quantum, it will be put at the tail of the list for its priority.
- A SCHED_RR process that has been preempted by a higher priority process and subsequently resumes execution as a running process will complete the unexpired portion of its round-robin time quantum.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Behaviour SCHED_RR (2/2)





◆□ ▶ ◆□ ▶ ◆ □ ▶ ◆ □ ▶

Implementation Steps in MentOs



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

20 / 28

Before implementing the real algorithm we need to extend the data-structures of MentOs, to manage the whole mechanism.

First, you need to get accustomed with the list_head data structure. It is used to **manage arrays** inside the kernel. The following **guide** contains the section *Kernel doubly-linked list*, which explains how the list_head works:

https://mentos-team.github.io/MentOS/doc/fundamental_ concepts.pdf

These lists are required to build the 140 lists array of the scheduler.

Second, I would suggest checking what the ${\tt struct sched_entity}$ contains:

```
struct sched_entity {
    int prio; // priority
    time_t start_runtime; // start execution time
    time_t exec_start; // last context switch time
    time_t sum_exec_runtime; // overall execution time
    time_t vruntime; // weighted execution time
}
```

and how its fields are updated.



< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 >

Implementation Steps

Third, I would suggest checking the content of

mentos/inc/process/prio.h.

#define MAX_NICE +19
#define MIN_NICE -20
#define NICE_WIDTH (MAX_NICE - MIN_NICE + 1)

#define MAX_RT_PRIO 100
#define MAX_PRIO (MAX_RT_PRIO + NICE_WIDTH)
#define DEFAULT_PRIO (MAX_RT_PRIO + NICE_WIDTH / 2)

#define NICE_TO_PRIO(nice) ((nice) + DEFAULT_PRIO)
#define PRIO_TO_NICE(prio) ((prio)-DEFAULT_PRIO)

#define USER_PRIO(p) ((p)-MAX_RT_PRIO)

static const int prio_to_weight[NICE_WIDTH];

and check the sys_vfork function to see how the new_process->se.prio is initialized.

< ロ > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ >

Backup Slides



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

24 / 28

Backup Slides

Earliest Deadline First (EDF)



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

25 / 28

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト













		Burst Ti	me	Deadli	ne Per	iod			
	T1	3		7	2	0			
	Т2	2		4	5	5			
	Т3	2		8	1	0			
Tasks		Т3	10				18		20
T2 T2	4 5		9 10		14	.5		19	20
т1	T1	7							20 Timę
0 1 2	3 4 5	6 7 8	9 10	11 12	13 14 15	16	17 18	19	20



		Burst Time	Deadline	Period		
	T1	3	7	20		
	Τ2	2	4	5		
	Т3	2	8	10		
Tasks		Т3	10		18	20
T2 T2	4 5	T2 9	10	14 15	19	20
т1	T1	7				20 Timę
0 1 2 3	4 5	6 7 8 9	10 11 12 13	14 15 16	17 18 19 2	0



		Burst Tir	ne [Deadli	ne P	eriod	_			
	T1	3		7		20	_			
	Τ2	2		4		5				
	Т3	2		8		10				
Tasks		T3 8	10				_	18		20
T2 T2	4 5	T2	9 10	T2	14	15			19	20
т1 Т	1	7								20 Timę
0 1 2 3	4 5	6 7 8 9	9 10	11 12	13 14	15 16	17	18	19	20

	В	urst Time	Deadline	Period		
	T1	3	7	20		
	Τ2	2	4	5		
	Т3	2	8	10		
Tasks		8	10 T3		18	20
T2 T2	4 5	T2 9	10 T2	14 15	19	20
Т1 Т	1	7				20 Timę
0 1 2 3	4 5 6	7 8 9	10 11 12 13	14 15 16	17 18 19	20



		Burst Time	Deadline	Period		
	T1	3	7	20		
	Τ2	2	4	5		
	Т3	2	8	10		
Tasks		Т3	10 T3		18	20
T2 T2	4 5	T2 9	10 T2	14 15 T2		19 20
T1]	1	7				20 Time
0 1 2 3	4 5	6 7 8 9	10 11 12 13	14 15 16	17 18	19 20



イロト イ団ト イヨト イヨト

Backup Slides

Rate Monotonic (RM)



Operating systems

Real-Time Scheduling

27 / 28

イロト イボト イヨト イヨト













Ξ



Ξ

















28 / 28

< ∃⇒

< 17 ▶